



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOVEMBER 5.

The President, Dr. RUSCHENBERGER, in the chair.

Sixty-six persons present.

The following papers were presented for publication :—

“On a Belt of Serpentine and Steatite in Radnor Township, Del. Co.” By Theodore D. Rand.

“Description of three new Species of Calceolæ, from the Upper Silurian Rocks of Kentucky.” By Victor W. Lyon.

Calluna Vulgaris.—Mr. THOMAS MEEHAN referred to a statement in a botanical periodical about the Heath being found apparently indigenous in New Jersey. He had visited the given location in company with Mr. Chas. F. Parker, one of the curators of the Academy, and gave his reasons in detail for concluding that it had been introduced probably about twelve years ago. It showed no disposition to get beyond the original place of planting.

He referred to the facts given in *Silliman's Journal* of 1861 and 1862, in regard to the discovery of the same plant at Tewkesbury, Mass., pointing out their inconclusive character, and expressing his opinion that, as in the New Jersey case, it was introduced there.

The following paper was ordered to be printed :—